## **NM** Equipment Evaluation Summary

	=0	anpinioni Evaluation Gamin	ıa. y		
System: Address: System NMAP# - Unit #:				Report Date:	
			Sı	Survey Date:	
System Manufacturer: Mod			Model:		
Medical Physicist:					
Pnys	sicist Signiture:				
	Equip	oment Evaluation Tests			
					Pass/Fail/NA
1	Intrinsic uniformity				
2	System Uniformity with all commo				
3	Intrinsic or System Spatial Resolution				
4	System Sensitivity (count rate/uni	it activity)			
5	Relative Sensitivity				
6	Energy Resolution				
7	Count Rate Parameters				
8 9	Processing Monitor Camera Interlocks				
9 10	Safety Evaluation				
10	•	chanical			
		ectrical			
11	Overall System Performance for S	SPECT Systems			
	a. U	niformity			
	b. Ro	esolution			
	c. Co	ontrast			
	Evaluation	of Site's QC Program			Pass/ Fail
1					
2	Daily CT check (SPECT/CT syste	ems)			
3	Weekly Bar Phantom			Doto	
4	Semi appual (quarterly preferred)	SPECT ACP phantom		Date	
5	Semi-annual (quarterly preferred) SPECT ACR phantom Uniformity Calibration (monthly or as specified by manufacturer)				
6	Center-of-Rotation/Head Alignme				
7	Dose Calibrator Tests	(==================================			
	a. Ao	ccuracy			
		nearity			
	c. Co	onstancy			
84-	dical Dhysiciatla Dagaressandat	iana fan Oualitu Immunus mant and Oan		on Toolin	
IVIE	edicai Physicist's Recommendat	ions for Quality Improvement and Cor	nments	s on Testing	g Procedures